UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/679,397	10/07/2003	Nobuyuki Hokari	A8319.0026/P026	5471	
24998 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 02/22/2007 HAPIRO LLP		EXAMINER		
1825 EYE STREET NW Washington, DC 20006-5403			NGUYEN, TAM M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1764			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/22/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/679,397	HOKARI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tam M. Nguyen	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 J	anuary 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 16 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is objected to be described by the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. Is have been received in Application rity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/679,397

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Argument

The rejection of 1-6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCollum et al. (3,948,755) in view of JP02002294257A is withdrawn by the examiner in view of the response filed on January 8, 2007.

A new none-final rejection follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Art Unit: 1764

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCollum et al. (3,948,755) in view of either Koizumi et al. (JP-2003097290A) or Koizumin et al. (JP-2003090227A).

McCollum discloses a process for upgrading a heavy oil by contacting the heavy oil with water at a high temperature and pressure in the presence of a catalyst comprising a metal oxide to reduce metals (e.g., vanadium) and sulfur compounds in the heavy oil. McCollum also discloses that the water also contains a reaction accelerator (e.g., methyl alcohol). The process is operated at a temperature of from 600-900° F and at a pressure of about 4000 psi (27 MPa). It is noted that McCollum does not specifically disclose that the vanadium is scavenged in the form of vanadium oxide and or metallic compound and does not disclose that sulfur is scavenged in the form of a sulfate and/or a metal sulfide. However, the heavy oil is contacted with water at a high temperature and pressure as claimed. It would be expected that at least one vanadium and at least one sulfur compound produced in the process of McCollum would be in the claimed form. (See col. 3, line 56 through col. 4, line 18; col. 7, line 67 through col. 8, line 50; col. 9, line 65 through col. 10, lines 6; table 9)

McCollum does not disclose that water is heated to 300 to 500 and pressuring to 10 MPa to 30 MPa before contacting with the heavy oil, does not disclose that the feedstock is a hydrocarbon heavy oil, does not disclose that water is either supercritical water or subcritical water, and does not disclose that the reforming oil can be used in a gas turbine.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,397

Art Unit: 1764

Both the JP references teach a process wherein heavy oil is passed into a gas turbine process. See abstract of both references.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of McCollum by passing the heavy oil for a gas turbine as suggested by the JP references because the heavy oil of McColum can be used for any purpose including in a gas turbine process.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of McCollum by utilizing a heavy oil as a claimed because it would be expected that either liquid feed or solid feed can be successfully treated in the process of McCollum.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of McCollum by heating and pressuring the water as claimed because McCollum teaches that the process is operated at a temperature of from 600-900° F and at a pressure about 4000 psi (27 MPa). Therefore, it is affective to heat and to pressurize the water to the operating condition before passing the water into the reaction zone.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of McCollum by operating the process of McCollum at either supercritical water or subcritical water because McCollum suggests water used in the process is at high pressures and at a temperature of from 600 to 900° F. Therefore, one of skill in the art would operate the process of McCollum at any condition including at either supercritical water or subcritical water.

Art Unit: 1764

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tam M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 1764

Cam

TN